

within the legislative district I represent, where the Lincoln City Council created a split lot by annexing a strip of 10 feet along a road, which then resulted in a lot, not because of subdividing, but because of the annexation laws affecting Lincoln where the Lincoln School District is at least concurrent with the city's boundaries, and that is a policy decision I support, but by doing what they did, they created a split lot, and under the provisions of the law that had previously been enacted, it was conceivable then that that lot could go to a different school district because it had been split. That particular action by the Lincoln City Council was vetoed by the mayor and, subsequently, was not overridden so it is a past issue. What the bill does is clarifies existing law that if there is a house on a lot that then the property would go to the school district where the house sets, whereas, if it is a vacant lot, the lot would then go to the school district where the majority of the lot lay. I think this is...I would move that the bill be advanced. This is a relative straightforward and it still addresses and solves the issue that the law was originally enacted for without permitting the use for it to artificially create split lots which was not the intent of the original legislation. So I would move the bill be advanced.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 74.

PRESIDENT: The bill is advanced. Move on to LB 398.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 398 was introduced by Senator Scofield. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 12, referred to Education, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Scofield, please.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President. This might win the award as being the simplest bill to understand that we will have the whole session. In fact, it could have been an amendment last year if there had been the proper vehicle to amend it. This issue was brought to me by the Financial Affairs Manager at Chadron who pointed out that current statute requires whenever