

come from the thirties, and \$80,000 sounds like a fortune to me for one office that would have one person getting \$40,000 of it, and \$9,000 for the typist who would probably be doing a tremendous lot of the work. So, I hear a lot of you talk about your concerns about the elderly, the poor, the teachers, everyone out there who needs our help. And when you talk about \$80,000, and just shove this through for this kind of thing then I don't think that your concerns, about where the money is going to come from for these other things, is realistic. A friend of mine called me the other night and said, because she had read this in the paper, and she said, who asked them down there to send in the clowns? Now, that really hurt because I think most of you realize in this state the Legislature's image, quite often, is not good. So, when you take \$40,000 and put it in a bill to give this to one person for a job that a lot of people could do and are doing now, it's being done without \$80,000, then you do look like a bunch of clowns and I don't want to be...I don't want to look like that. So, I hope that you will think about this again and vote for the indefinite postpone measure...motion, and let's get on with the business of the state, the real business. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator McFarland, please.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to comment on the job issue, since it's been mentioned. I think Secretary of State Beermann mentioned to me that there were two people that served in these kind of capacities who would, just as examples, would be persons who could fulfill this function. It is certainly not designated for them. The Secretary of State has no appointment power in the process. I think one of the persons, I don't know either of them, one of them was a person who was kind of the host at SAC Air Force Base. And I think Secretary of State Beermann mentioned him. I don't know who the other one was, I don't recall. I'm sure there are others. And, if you take a look at the process of who...of how that job is appointed, you'll see that the Governor, who vetoed this bill last year, would have the appointment power of appointing the Protocol Officer. So, to allege that somehow this is creating a job for a specific person is just a spurious type of argument and doesn't have any merit whatsoever. If we talk about good manners and how simple good manners are, let me give you a few examples of the problems that have arisen in the past with respect to foreign guests in our country. I'm told that there was a delegation of Chinese dignitaries who were here to visit