amusing. If you want to kill the bill, if you want to stop this effort, then go right ahead, but I think you will be making a serious mistake. It won't hurt me and it won't hurt Senator Lynch and it won't hurt very many of us in this Legislature from We've got our programs and they'll just the urban areas. continue like they are, but this state will be hurt. The whole state will be hurt and we care about the whole state because when you have a sick child because they couldn't afford to get immunized in western Nebraska, that child is a child that we all care about and we share in the suffering. And a child that can't get the sort of public education to help them understand how to take care of themselves so they don't get sick in schools or in other situations, that child is a child I care about. don't care where that child is in this state. But you ought to care too. And I don't understand the idea of killing this bill. You ought to withdraw the kill motion, Senator Haberman. You've specific problems with the bill, we'll deal with specific problems. You've got general concerns about the bill, we'll try to answer your questions. I think we can find the solutions to your concerns. We've spent a lot of time on this issue. There is an answer to these different concerns that you have and I find it very interesting to have the discussion that we're having and I think it's fine. I don't mind going on and we can take more time with this because public health is that important to this state. We don't have in place the public health system that we should have. We don't have the services there in many areas of the state that we should have. We're trying to do something about that problem. There is a cost associated with this at six hundred some thousand dollars. It's a small price to pay, I think, to take care of people to make sure that they stay healthy. That's really all we're talking about. blown this thing far up out of proportion in terms of the There are concerns here that really aren't needed. I think that you're not going to find abortion and referrals and whatever as part of this. That's not the intent. You're not going to find duplication of services in this bill that some people are concerned about because that's not the intent. maybe some of these things that we have intent with don't end up happening but I can tell you right now that the whole plan is to have in place services that aren't now being met. We understand Senator Smith talked about when you have in place home health services and area agency on aging. We don't want duplicate that. What we're trying to do is come up with a whole different idea and we've done it before in the Family Support Act. We passed that bill and that program put into place a