

limit it, Senator Wesely?

SENATOR WESELY: The bill is fine as it is, Senator Haberman. For instance, your particular concern about off the property, if you read it, it says it is without provocation. If you have got wild dogs coming onto property, and that is provocation, and the dog is responding to that. I think this bill covers the different circumstances that (interruption)...

SENATOR HABERMAN: What does provocation mean?

SENATOR WESELY: Provocation would mean any sort of activity that would lead the dog to respond to defend the property, to defend the owner. That is provocation, and just the example you gave is covered.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, when I send old Shep across the road to get that wild dog, that is not defending the owner. That is defending his cattle, his sheep.

SENATOR WESELY: That is right and that is fine.

SENATOR HABERMAN: That is what you say but that isn't what the courts would say.

SENATOR WESELY: Well, I think the courts would be reasonable.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, I don't know whether I want to take that gamble or not, Senator Wesely. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Wesely, please.

SENATOR WESELY: Is it closing or are we...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Yours is the last light if you would care to close, yes.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, thank you. Mr. Speaker, members, for Senator Haberman's benefit and for the rest who have asked questions, I think the bill covers the various concerns. Again, summarizing where we are at, you have got to have a circumstance where you have not provoked the dog in any fashion, you haven't trespassed, you haven't otherwise caused the dog to defend property or the owner, and in those circumstances, the dog attacks and takes action, and even then, you have got to report