February 2, 1989 LB 195

SENATOR CONWAY: To the best of my knowledge and the best of the skills of our legal counsel and the like that was...the process was to draw over the history and the knowledge and the statutes associated with DWI and simply the same testing technique, the same standards...

SENATOR WESELY: Okay.

SENATOR CONWAY: ... and so forth to follow through with that.

SENATOR WESELY: And different things, like I saw in here about the court being able to commit to alcohol rehabilitation program and everything, that's what we now have over in some other section...

SENATOR CONWAY: Same as DWI, yes.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, that's all...it sounded like we almost were setting up a separate system. We've already got one in place, I didn't want to see it duplicated. Doesn't sound like that's a problem and I appreciate that.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hannibal, please.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members, I also have just had a chance to look through the bill and I would like to ask Senator Conway some questions as well. I'm somewhat dismayed I guess that Senator Schmit started off the conversation with it being a fairly light and frivolous bill, the which is nice to do on a dreary morning like we have, but some questions like Senator Wesely had jump into my mind also. You are trying, Senator Conway, as I see it, to make DWB...DWI become a DWB situation, driving while...oh, driving while intoxicated of a boat though instead of a vehicle. Who is...and I understand that we already have laws on the books that say it illegal to be operating a boat while under the influence of is drugs or alcohol. Is that correct? Could Senator Conway respond, please?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Conway, please.

SENATOR CONWAY: Yes, that is correct.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: What happens under...when...you lose a license, I believe, under DWI for a certain period of time. Now