February 1, 1989 LB 298

be the practices of the <u>Omaha World-Herald</u> in contravention of our statute?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I think that Senator Haberman has raised a valid issue, and I would certainly be open to any new ideas along that line.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay. Just with that brief sampling of Senator Moore, Senator Barrett and Senator Schimek, it seems to me that the time between now and Select File should be spent in drafting an appropriate remedy that the body could agree with. I think there is an underlying, and let me see if this...if you wish you can correct me here, there is an underlying dissatisfaction with the notion that the legal rate carries no force of law at this point, operates, apparently, in a vacuum and without any substantive teeth. Senator Warner is still on his feet, and since he's been a good advocate here, maybe you're entitled to 30 seconds for your response. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: As I understood, was I open to consideration?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR WARNER: The answer, if you make just a little longer question, of am I open to consideration and rejection? The answer would be, yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: (Laughter.)

SENATOR WARNER: Because I, frankly, am of the opinion...Let me put it this way, as you know my wife was a reporter. She told me one time, many years ago, that you never win arguments with people who buy ink by the gallon. And I suspect the largest newspaper buys it in a much larger volume than that. (Laughter.) And I don't think....I really don't think the issue that is being talked about justifies consideration by the body, because the remedy of notice to people is being met, and we're throwing around some hypotheticals that are...I don't think, in reality exist.

PRESIDENT: Half a minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. I will just close by saying that I think that the final force of the legitimacy of law should not be the amount of ink that you own, purchase and can expend on