

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body, in spite of the rapid passage of time this morning, I feel it is necessary for me also to rise in opposition to the current amendment from Senator Hefner. What Senator Hefner's amendment, basically, says to me is that there is a time limit on good manners, that the things that we should be doing perhaps are what we shouldn't be doing at the "fill in the blank" date in the future, in this particular case, 1993. I think there is a good deal of confusion that exists on the floor at this point in time on the purpose of the bill, the real meaning of it. I don't think that this bill was ever intended to provide financial support for private industry in the State of Nebraska. It was more directed to and perhaps in the flurry of amendments we have that we need an amendment to change the word "dignitary" to "foreign government official" because that is essentially the intent of the bill, to provide a method whereby the State of Nebraska can form a cohesive, coherent program to host foreign dignitary, foreign government officials and provide a clearinghouse for information for other people in the State of Nebraska, who, through the course of their business, are either receiving foreign guests or are traveling to a land in the pursuit of their business or, for that matter, for pleasure. I don't think that there is ever a time line in good behavior. I don't think that we should put a time line in this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Warner, then Senator Korshoj, then Senator Hartnett. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I would rise to oppose adding the sunset, too, but for another totally different reason. It seems to me that in the past I can recall where we have added sunset which then became the alibi, the excuse for voting for the bill because it was just something to try. There ought to be a clearly established need that you are convinced ought to be at least a permanent part of the law barring a bill to repeal it. I don't think sunsets effectively work. The only...there may be one or two times that they have but the only one I can recall off the top of my head was the sunset that covered a whole lot of things, that we abolished a board that hadn't met for 15 years, as I recall, and even then we didn't abolish it, we combined it with another existing one. I have very little faith in the concept that a sunset provision causes review by this body at some time in the future, and I would suggest that the responsibility ought to be on us on the assumption that this