

January 27, 1989            LB 251

SENATOR LINDSAY:    Mr. President, I move that the E & R amendments to LB 251 be adopted.

SPEAKER BARRETT:    Shall the amendments be adopted? Those in favor say aye. Opposed no. Carried, they are adopted.

CLERK:    Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT:    Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER:    Mr. President, members of the Legislature, was that printed in the Journal, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK:    No, sir, it was not.

SENATOR WARNER:    Oh, my.

CLERK:    I can read it, if you like, Senator, if that would be helpful.

SENATOR WARNER:    Please.

CLERK:    (Read Warner amendment as found on page 465 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR WARNER:    Thank you, Mr. Clerk. I apologize for not having this handed out. I neglected to write on the...when I filed it, to have it printed in the Journal. What the amendment does is not perhaps all that substantive in a sense, but I...when the issue was discussed on General File I was concerned that if an individual had chosen to place some property in this category and subsequently a governmental subdivision or the state found it necessary to somehow affect the use of that property, by taking it or otherwise affecting it, under the bill, as proposed, they merely have to...and amended, they merely have to notify the Director of Game and Parks. What the amendment does, it still would be a matter of notifying, in effect, the director, but it goes one step further in that it would require that there would be a hearing process by that governmental entity where the owner of the property could come in and present material as to the negative impact of what was proposed to be done, and then that material would be filed with the Director of the Game Commission...Director of the Game and Parks Commission as well. My concern is that as it was drafted,