

Smith, do you wish to discuss the amendment? Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I'd feel just a little more comfortable with this language if it said, any publisher who knowingly charges more than the legal rate for publication. Seems to me that what you need here is something more than a clerical error to trigger criminal responsibility. And this language does not have the element of scienter or knowledge, as you refer to it in the analysis of criminal statutes. Somebody who made a clerical or billing error might trip this criminal penalty, seems to me ought to know that you're charging more than the legal rate. My guess is that maybe that is satisfactory to Senator Haberman. Let me go on to say that I think the case has been made for 298 in contradistinction to the argument that we had yesterday. I am convinced that since 1982, costs have gone up substantially and this Legislature should not be so penurious as to penalize an industry by trying to keep prices down when costs have, in fact, changed. We need to be realists and we need to recognize when costs have changed. We should raise the rate, we should pass 298.

SENATOR L. JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Hefner. I do not see him. Senator McFarland, please.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: I would just call the question, Mr. President. I think I'm the last speaker.

SENATOR L. JOHNSON: Senator Barrett, did you want to speak on the amendment?

SENATOR BARRETT: Am I the last light, Mr. President?

SENATOR L. JOHNSON: You are the last light at this time, yes, sir.

SENATOR BARRETT: Fine. Just one quick comment or two. I was not aware that this amendment was to be placed on the desk by Senator Haberman, and I find it a bit difficult to oppose something that the law says you can't do anyway. LB 298 presents a cap. This is the top limit. And I'm a little bit distressed that we have an argument, apparently, between a member of this body and one of the state's newspapers in the form of this amendment. I also am in total agreement with Senator Landis's statement that the word "knowingly" should be