

being suggested at this point. You will notice we have several on the agenda today, and in previous years, we have adopted provisions for, I think, Pearl Harbor plates, for Prisoner of War plates. Now we will have about two or three more, and so the committee has decided that we will support issuance of these plates, but we are going to have the price a little bit higher than it costs. It costs somewhat less than \$3, the additional cost for these plates. So we decided, and as these bills come through here, you will see that we are being consistent on all of these special similar plates that may...could be called somewhat of a prestige plate, just set them all at \$5. That is somewhat of an arbitrary figure. It is well above the cost and we think that is a reasonable solution to this total problem.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Senator Lamb. I would ask Senator Lamb at some point if we could get a list of what plates are now issued and what would be added. I would sure appreciate that, because I am starting to get concerned...yeah, I would be concerned about how many different kind of plates and just how you would administer all of this. I can understand why these different groups want these plates, but are we going to have...where does it end? I mean, where do we stop with different designations of different groups that may want to have a special plate, and then we now have prestige plates at fifty or something bucks. Yeah, Senator Lamb, he needs to answer.

SENATOR LAMB: I would just explain that in the fiscal analyst notes here, you see the \$8.27. Well, that is three...that is for three years. The plates are issued for three years so that takes care of all the costs for three years. So if it was \$3 a year, you would more than cover the cost. We are saying \$5 a year.

SENATOR WESELY: Oh, okay, I would like to give the rest of my time to Senator Smith.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Smith, you have about 2 1/2 minutes.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would just like to make the point that this was a piece of legislation that I had brought, not knowing that Senator Coordsen was bringing the legislation. My increase would have been for \$1, returning it back to the \$1 fee because my understanding was that the cost was not excessive at that point in time, and you are saying now, Senator Lamb, that over three years period of time the cost is