there there would be some individuals who would be harmed because they, basically, could not pay their taxes, but I think one of the arguments that was used in opposition to the bill and the argument that Senator Landis laid out was that you cannot embarrass a company, you cannot embarrass a corporation, you cannot embarrass an absentee landlord. Well, ladies and gentlemen, those individuals are not individuals who do not pay their taxes because they cannot. They choose not to. those names that were spelled out, told in the committee, were names that were clearly very profitable companies that operate throughout the State of Nebraska, but for one reason or another, I don't know what it is, they fully were aware of the 14 percent penalty that goes along with the delinquent property taxes, choose not to pay their taxes. This is not an issue of we are just unable to pay them, we cannot afford to, and the argument was...by that one county official was that the vast majority of people were companies, corporations, and absentee landlords, and they have made the conscious decision not to pay their taxes. I am not, and I never will be, one who says that it is right or proper to embarrass individuals. I can open up the daily paper in Omaha and see divorce notices that lists names. I see notices of individuals who have been sentenced for one reason or another, whether it be anything from shoplifting to DWI to whatever, and those are done by our local daily at no charge to those individuals. Senator Schmit, the publication that you talked about is the Daily Record, and it clearly is the paper that allows for legal notices, and one of the reasons for that is that the World-Herald does not accept the legal rate, for that reason, the Daily Record thrives because the World-Herald does not accept the rate that is laid out in statute and does not charge that. But the issue here is one of, do we publish the names or don't we? I guess if we don't publish the names, my question would be, why do we even publish the legal description? Why not just do away with the notice altogether? I mean, I clearly don't have any strong feelings with regard to this being, as one senator put it off the record, the farm bill for the press association. I mean, by adding a line, I don't see where their revenues are going to increase any great extent. Now the other bill that Senator Smith alluded to that was heard in General Affairs that deals with the increase after seven years is a different matter altogether, my opinion, but this is one where you clearly lay out who owns the property. If you don't do that, I guess I would fully expect...