

businesses. Some of them lost their farms. So they have paid for every bit of whatever discount through the school systems, or through restaurants, or clothing stores that they get. I feel they are entitled to it. Furthermore, on this particular issue, they are not going to pack a bus...

SENATOR HANNIBAL PRESIDING

SENATOR HANNIBAL: One minute.

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...and 34 of them rush right down to the voc-tech school and the university and all enroll in classes. They are not going to do that. There won't be that many that want to do that. So I don't see any harm in this bill. I think it is a good bill and I would suggest that you advance the legislation. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Next, Senator Landis, please.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I raised the issue before on General File debate. Senator McFarland's bill, basically, gave a benefit to a class of people, to a status, if you will, without any indication that there was need present in all members of that class. At this point, Senator McFarland has narrowed his point to indicate that he is talking about retired people who, basically, live on retirement benefits and are not full-time employees. That is good enough for me. I get a feeling of need out of that. That is close enough to the mark. We are away now from status orientation and we are certainly into the area of at least an analysis of need and special conditions that might warrant special treatment. In this situation, I am prepared to accept the amendment and vote for the bill.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Lamb, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Mr. President and members, I appreciate Senator McFarland's efforts with this amendment. However, still we have the issue of what kind of a mechanism are we going to set up here? What kind of a mechanism are we going to set up to determine whether or not a majority of the income of this individual comes from retirement? What sort of a can of worms will that lead to in the future? Is this going to be a