

just boggles my mind. It is totally at odds with the normal procedures of our rules.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: And it wasn't a bad ruling, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, again. Senator Moore, any comment, and may I suggest that the body may speak to this challenge one time and only one time, and please stick to the question at hand. That is the challenge of the Chair. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, to begin with, I don't think this motion is any more mind-boggling than the five rules that Senator Withem is trying to suspend to get to his motion, and there has been a number of the members of the body that have asked me, am I making these motions so I have a chance to speak. I want to say, no, that is not the reason I am doing it. The reason I am trying to do it, I think the only right and proper way to deal with the issue of property tax relief that Senator Withem has diagramed for us today is we have to be up front and deal with it, and the only way we can actually deal with that is to vote with LB 275 along with a tax...a corresponding tax increase that is going to be necessary to fund it. And so, I mean if you wait until after you have dealt with the Withem motion, you have already been on record, you have already, what I am going to say, falsely been on record being for or against property tax relief, when the vote is, I think, is totally out of line because there is no funding attached to it. I mean there is no actual tax increase attached to it. I think it is very dangerous. I mean I will grant that my motion to suspend all the rules and just have fun is a little out of order, but it is no more out of order than Senator Withem's five rule, you know, the...I am trying to get the right...more than a trifecta, quadfecta, he is suspending five rules. I want to suspend one more and so we talk about the issue the way it should be talked about, talking about property tax relief, real property tax relief, and the corresponding tax increase that is going to have to go with that. And I would hope that the body would not overrule the Chair so we can get onto my motion, which is to suspend the rules, and then I can go back to my original motion and so we can suspend the rules to bring both LB 275 and LB 774 to the floor, and so when you talk about property tax relief, you talk about the proper corresponding tax increase that has to go with that type of an issue.