

would not be as if it is an issue that we need to get rid of, but I think, personally, the bill probably was correctly referenced by the Executive Board originally, and I guess I will vote in that manner.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. This is a friendly discussion going on and Senator Johnson and I have talked about this. I do recognize the previous legislation on this did go to the Ag Committee, and I remember at that time there was some concern about that, but there is an understanding of why it should go there but you could go either way on the issue and it would be right, I think. This is not a black and white situation. Senator Weihing's position and one that I would share is that the cholesterol problem is a health problem. It is a serious problem the Health Committee has looked at. We have had national conferences on how we can deal with the cholesterol problem, how we can better inform people and help them to cut down on their cholesterol count. Understanding the regulatory function is under the Ag Department, and that is there, but at the same time, a sensitivity to why we are concerned about cholesterol is really a health perspective and I think that is why Senator Weihing wants it in the Health Committee. Would be happy to have the bill and be willing to work with Senator Weihing. If you choose not to send it to the committee, I am sure Senator Johnson will do an excellent job in the Ag Committee. So Senator Weihing, I think, has a legitimate point and I would support him on his rereferencing.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: There is only one other light on, Senator Moore. It is Senator Weihing. So we will move to Senator Weihing for closing. Thank you.

SENATOR WEIHING: It is entirely true this bill has...this type of bill has been presented before. It was presented by Senator Pappas. It did not pass through and come on to the floor. The issue as it was discussed at that time, my understanding is that the issue of its implementation, and that implementation, of course, is in the Department of Agriculture, but it was felt that it was too difficult to implement, apparently, to move on