

January 9, 1989

is because some people in this body go out to their little bailiwicks and some of those people will tell them, by God, you let that Chambers do this, you let him say that. You don't let me do and say anything. You can't stop me from saying those things that I feel it's my responsibility to say. Now, some of you get whipsawed into supporting a bill that you disagree with because so many of you come to me and tell me you disagree with a bill. Sometimes a person will provide the 25th vote to stop debate on a bill, then regret it forever after and become the strongest opponent to that piece of legislation once enacted. I observe what happens on the floor of this Legislature. I listen to things that people say and I watch what they do and even when I'm in a mellow mood I'm not as naive as some people might think that I am under those circumstances. So do what Senator Moore wants you to do, adopt his amendment, then adopt this. And what will you have done? You will not have done what Senator Moore's intent it is to do. I think his motion is as insignificant and trifling as the amendment itself. And why do I speak in such blunt terms about a proposition such as this? Because I find it abhorrent and I will say so. Senator Moore finds my method of debating on certain occasions abhorrent but he doesn't use that word. He is nice.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Moore, I have a couple of amendments that I'm going to offer to your proposition, but on this particular one you are trying to tighten it up and it will make it tighter. So I don't have a feeling about it really one way or the other in terms of how the body votes on it. I am going to watch that vote and then will proceed from there.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Landis, did you wish to speak about the Moore amendment? Okay, I will leave your light on. Senator Moore, did you wish to close on your amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR MOORE: Just to simply make it clear to the body that by adopting this amendment you would add the word "principal" introducer to the rule that's printed in your Journal. As Senator Chambers mentioned, that does make it tighter but I think it would be the fair way to do it in the fact that the person who brought the bill to the Legislature would be the one that if he or she wants to continue debate, that is their choice, but I think that the rule, to read better, should have