

January 9, 1989

during the debate. It has only happened once or twice since I have been here but I think it is a change that allows us all to be able to at least speak and defend our own bills that we have worked so hard on. With that, if there is any more of the opening minute left, I would grant it to Senator Moore to give his interpretation of the amendment to the rules change.

PRESIDENT: Senator Moore, before you speak, the Clerk has a question of Senator Withem. Senator Withem, the Clerk has a question of you.

CLERK: Senator, so I am in sync with you and Senator Moore, you are offering now the proposal that you have co-signed as opposed to the proposal up here as in the Journal, is that right?

SENATOR WITHEM: Yeah, and I don't know if we have formerly done that, I guess, if we need to make...

CLERK: I just need to have Senator Lynch withdraw the Rules Committee proposal and then we will offer yours as a substitute.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lynch, excuse me, Mr. Clerk, would you advise him what to do.

CLERK: Senator, given what is transpiring here, I understand technically you wish to withdraw that amendment number four and allow Senator Moore and Withem to substitute that amendment that they had drafted this morning.

SENATOR LYNCH: Yes, absolutely correct, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Okay.

PRESIDENT: No objections, it is withdrawn. Now we will move to Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Thank you, Mr. President and members. As Senator Withem has already explained, this motion quite simply is to allow the introducer of a bill to at least defend himself in the advent of a kill motion. The only reason that Senator Withem and I are offering this language as opposed to the language presently in the Journal is the fact that there was some concern, I, personally, had some concern with the way the original rule was drafted. The introducer could use the rules to his or her advantage by simply waiting until the question was