

agreement on the tax problem this year I don't believe unless you clarify this bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. President, I stand in support of Vard Johnson's statement that it is utmost important to our county assessors and so on, particularly the ones without, as I said the other day, computers that we move this bill on and I appreciate all the discussion but it still seems to me like in Section 2 that it very clearly states that it is only for this year only and I hope that the body will move forward rapidly on this bill.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Well, Mr. President, it was failure to dot our "i's" and cross our "t's" and be specific and face up to things that got us into this whole mess in the first place and I can see it is heading down the same path again. Unfortunately Senator Hefner and Senator Carsten do have, by the statements they both made, exactly opposite views of where we are going on this and what the purpose of this legislation is. Senator Beutler spelled that out pretty clearly. If this is for the year 1985, then I think it should be spelled out, I didn't feel that way when we started the conversation but after listening I am convinced of it, but, Senator Beutler, I would say your amendment doesn't go far enough. I think it has to say for the year 1985 and subsequent to that establish what the valuation is going to be. In other words it shall be valued according to the same standard as all other property in the state because it is obvious you have conflicting views as to what happens after 1985. At least three conflicting views have been expressed here. Senator Johnson's is that the Legislature is going to get a bill passed, we are going to straighten it out and, therefore, we can be vague or we don't have to address it. Senator Carsten's is after 1985 should nothing happen or should the Legislature fail to quickly do something, the Department of Revenue will value and their standards will be, I assume, productivity or whatever is in LB 30. Senator Hefner's point of view as one of the sponsors is after 1985 it reverts back to the system of valuing all property uniformly and proportionately, and as I say, if we have got the three principal individuals on one of the most important matters expressing diametrically