

November 10, 1982

LB 2

but our merchants do get 3 percent for collecting the funds which is a little bit more than most states get and after explaining this all to the merchants that I talked to, they're not all that happy but they can live with it. So I certainly support this compromise if you want to call it that.

SENATOR LAMB: There are no more speakers on our list. Senator Carsten, would you care to close on your amendment?

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President, members, I don't think I need to say anything more. I think it has all been said. The only thing is I think there are two things that we need to look at. First of all, is the impact that it will have of 13.8 or nearly 14 million to help the coffers in this fiscal year. Secondly, that it is not only a temporary thing but a long range thing that the cash flow problem will be addressed for down the road. It is not just temporary but is a long-range approach to that cash flow problem also. With that, concludes my closing and would move for the adoption.

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is the adoption of the Carsten amendment. Those in support vote aye, those opposed vote no, 25 votes.

CLERK: Senator Lamb voting yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on the adoption of the first Revenue Committee amendment.

SENATOR LAMB: The motion is adopted. The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, the second amendment I have to the bill is also offered by the Revenue Committee. It would read as follows: (Read amendment as found on page 47 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I move for the adoption of the amendment. The change from January to April was recommended and suggested by the Department of Revenue for two basis reasons. First of all, the impact of the bill itself will come in this fiscal year and that is one of the main reasons. Secondly, that there is a multitude of reporting that needs to be done by various groups, industry and so forth, in January and it would add an added burden in January and as much as it can be...the same answer be done with a later date, the Department recommended that the effective date of this be April first instead of January first for those reasons. With that explanation I move for the adoption.

SENATOR LAMB: At this time I would like to introduce a couple of dignitaries

00015