

in my legislative district. The people I have talked to don't want the Legislature to bend to these kinds of temporary winds that come howling through the State Capitol halls occasionally. And believe me, colleagues, I don't think the people in your legislative district would approve of this resolution either. So with that, Mr. President, let me concede the rest of my time to Senator Cullan and then Senator Landis. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: I will show you the list that I've got up here. I've got Haberman, Cullan, DeCamp, Beutler, Howard Peterson, Rumery, Hefner and Landis. All right Senator Cullan can take the rest of his time.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, let me say before I begin to address this particular amendment that I believe very strongly in the type of education that these children do receive in some of these schools. I have a school in Chadron, a Christian school in Chadron that I think is in many, many respects superior probably to the public schools and many of the other parochial schools in that area. I think is an excellent educational program. And perhaps when the Legislature convenes we will support a change in the state law that will allow the school systems to function more readily. But the point I want to make is the actual...I ask us to take an actual look at the resolution which Senator Koch has placed before us and Senator Koch said that he wants us to urge the law enforcement officers of the State of Nebraska to "temper their actions." What Senator Koch is really telling us, Senator Schmit, and other members of the Legislature, is that he wants us to have the judicial branch of this government wink at the law that we have enacted in the State of Nebraska and that, I think, is a very serious message for the Legislature to be sending out on this issue or any issue and we may very well change the law in the next session of the Legislature but the law in force today is the law that should be applied. It is not our function to tell the judicial branch what to enforce and what not to enforce. For three days my friend and colleague, Senator Schmit, has fought changes in child support programs. He has argued in very plain English that we need not change the law in that area. All that we want is for the law that we already enacted to be enforced and I think Senator Schmit is correct. If our law were enforced we wouldn't have child support problems nearly to the extent that we have them today. All our amendment is saying is that we want the law enforced in an evenhanded fashion without regard to wealth, privilege, class, race, religion or any other special classification. It is very wrong of us to urge the law enforcement people in the State of Nebraska as Senator Koch has said to temper