

November 5, 1981

LB 7

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you. Senator Johnson, do you believe that the severability clause has to be added to this?

SENATOR JOHNSON: Senator Haberman, I did speak once.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Would you give me a yes or no please?

SENATOR JOHNSON: You might have been in a Biblical discussion with Senator Chambers at the time. I do not believe the severability clause needs to be added.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you. Senator Beutler. Senator Beutler, do you believe the severability clause has to be added to this?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I don't think it is necessary at this time because I think the legislative history is now very clear with regard to both the problem we discussed earlier and Senator Schmit's problem everybody here intends it to be severable.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Chambers has already given his opinion and he is 99.99% an attorney. I leave off 1% because he hasn't joined the bar. Senator Cullan, beings as you are 98% through law school, do you feel that the severability clause should be added to this?

SENATOR CULLAN: I never give out free advice, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Fine, thank you. Now, okay, now, if you stop to think about two things. I would like to ask you to think about two things. Number one, if you add this, we are going to be here another three or four hours. Number two, anytime you can get six and a half attorneys to agree on something, it must be all right. So I am going to say let's go along with the attorneys because they all agree and defeat the Warner amendment and then we can conduct our business and quit and go home. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: I call the question.

PRESIDENT: It won't be necessary because you were the last speaker. Senator Warner, you may close on your motion.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, for eighteen years I have been told that we did not need to add the severability clause. Time and time again I have used the same argument, I have heard everytime the courts do that,