

November 5, 1981

LB 7

and the same people will be here in January essentially at least as is here now. If the action you took was right, it can be done then, it can be done again, and there would be I assume it can be argued a couple of months of problems for some individuals and I understand that but, nevertheless, I would assume the action could be done without any cloud of possible incorrect action because of the nature of the call. So I would suggest you use your own judgment, adopt the amendment if you want to be absolutely sure. If you are absolutely sure by leaving the bill as it is, then vote no.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the Warner motion to return LB 7 for the specific amendment. All those in favor of the motion to return vote aye, opposed nay. Nine are excused. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: I just want to make a record vote out of this so that (interruption).

PRESIDENT: Yes, sir, a record vote has been requested. Record the vote.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 104, Legislative Journal.) 15 ayes, 24 nays, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Motion fails. Another matter, do you have another motion?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would now move to return LB 7 to Select File for specific amendment. That amendment would be to add the severability clause.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, under the circumstances, I originally intended to offer that. I still do. Were it not for the fact that I gather that Senator Schmit is going to be offering amendments to the bill...will not be coming back. Well, if it is not coming back again, I would even more encourage the acceptance of the severability clause, because many have spoken of the problems with one or the other, and if one is...a portion of the bill has a problem, I would hope that the whole thing wouldn't go down. I would move the bill be returned for the adoption.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I respectfully oppose it, not because it might not be good language, but because it would delay quite a bit. But above