

talking about. Attorneys get up on the floor and say it is all wrong, don't have any fears. Let me tell you of a little incident that happened about the last day of the 1980 session. Had a little bill up, Senator DeCamp called on one attorney after the other and said, "Do you think this is constitutionally sound?" "Absolutely not. It is terrible. It will never stand up." It has stood up now and it is clear to the Supreme Court of the United States. So this is an old song that we have seen many times. Now I suppose we employ the Attorney General's Office for nothing to give us bum opinions. How many times are they wrong? Not too many times. What I think we should do, as a layman's opinion, is take the bill as it was written. If you think you are a better attorney than the Attorney General's Office, then go ahead and change it. Take the chance. If you don't think you are, then vote on it up and if you don't like it vote it down. That is the way to go. Then it is not on your head whether it is right or wrong. I don't think those of us that are laymen are particularly qualified to say but thank you, Mr. Speaker, for letting me talk.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. President, colleagues, what I would like to do is to add to Senator Beutler's comments about the results of our research during the dinner hour. Senator Beutler, I think, covered very well the holding of the Nebraska Supreme Court opinion in Arrowhead and made the first of three I think important points that we concur about in respect to the legal issues involved here, and let me just state those briefly because I know that we want to vote on the matter and get on to the next item if, indeed, Senator Schmit intends to introduce his amendment. All right, those points are the following two in addition to Senator Beutler's points. Now first of all what the Attorney General's opinion says basically is that we have to strictly construe the Governor's call. Now the Governor's call consists of two parts. The first call and the amended call and they are found on pages two and three of the Journal and the Attorney General's opinion says that we have to read the purposes for which we were called and then place the strictest possible construction on those. Now I have underlined these and could read the Governor's language for you but I won't do that because it will take too much time, but suffice it to say that if you read that language and place the strictest possible construction on it, there is really very, very little we can deal with in these bills. Now the important point is the following; that there are two provisions in LB 7 as introduced by the Governor which go beyond the strict