without. Granted, the amendment guts it but I would like to see it in the record that somebody had guts enough to stand up and call the shots like they are or like they were from the beginning. If you wish to withdraw the resolution, I won't object further but I would just like you to reconsider withdrawing it.

PRESIDENT: There is a motion before the House to withdraw it now so you are speaking to that motion. Who else wants to discuss the motion of withdrawal? Senator Haberman, did you wish to speak on the motion to withdraw? You have got your light on. Senator DeCamp, did you wish to speak to the motion to withdraw?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, so there be no confusion and so that Senator Wiitala knows exactly where I am coming from, he and I indeed did discuss the very matter and indeed I said  ${\tt I}$ would do everything I could to fix responsibility, and by any measurement of man or God or anybody else, I sure as blazes have tried to do that for the previous week. have said there is a proposal, and under the constitutional authority of the Constitution of the State of Nebraska, one man elected by the people has chosen to call us into session. He has that authority. At that point we have two choices and they have nothing to do with resolutions. We can pass four thousand resolutions in here condemning everything or praising or saying anything and they don't amount to a hill of beans. What does amount to something is the lawsyou pass. You have determined to affix responsibility very clearly by the passage at this point of LB 7 and LB 8. They are on Final Reading. If you want the Board of Equalization to increase taxes, you need only make those bills fail. That is how you accomplish what you are stating in rhetoric you want to accomplish in the resolution. You have that option. You have the power with one vote or twenty-four if you can get enough to cause that to happen or you have the power to do the opposite, fix responsibility on the Governor, pass his bill, and say, "Look! You have said this is what will work. We are going to wait and see. We are giving you the benefit of the doubt at this time." But can there be anything more confusing than a resolution that says, "Board of Equalization, go increase the tax rates." At the same time you are passing bills, one after another, that say, "We are cutting it so we don't have to increase the tax rates." All I am saying is pick and choose, one side or the other, and the form you have to do that is the bill you will pass on Final Reading or not pass on Saturday or Monday. That is why I tried to make it very clear by withdrawing those two sections as to what those sections really meant. Those two sections basically said you made