SENATOR CARSTEN: All right, thank you very much.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? Senator Chambers, on the amendment now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, not only does this refer to the amendment but everything that might transpire from this point forward. It is a point of order that I must raise for the record. I am wondering if anything else we do has any efficacy or legal effect since as a matter of fact based on the vote that was taken to adjourn we were adjourned. It just takes a majority to adjourn and there was a majority voting for adjournment. So because I like the rules, and, Senator Beutler, I know you like the rules, too, I am not going to participate in anything from this point on because it is much ado about nothing. We did vote to adjourn. The vote was successful and I don't have time to stay this afternoon but it has been nice and I will see you tomorrow.

PRESIDENT: See you tomorrow, Senator Chambers. See you tomorrow. Who wishes to speak now on the amendment? Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. President, what do you say? Are we adjourned or aren't we?

PRESIDENT: I said that when I put it to a vote on the board 25. There is nothing in the rules that says specifically how many it takes. If I had called it viva voce, whatever I said, if there would be three people yell out, we can be adjourned. That is the way it is and the next time I will tell you if anybody makes a motion I am not putting it on the board. So just be aware. If anybody makes a motion here on out, I am just going to call the shot.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. President, I didn't wish to arouse your anger.

PRESIDENT: No, if the rules don't say anything, I take the situation that it takes 25 votes. So that is the only reason I ruled that way. If some of you think you are adjourned, why feel free to go. Now getting back to Senator Wiitala's LR 1. Senator Koch, did you wish to speak to the amendment to Senator Wiitala's resolution?

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. President, I move the previous question on the amendment.