

down legislation as unconstitutional when it is too vague and does not notify people of what conduct is prohibited. So the mere word "participation" in connection with a strike without a definition is too vague and indefinite to let anybody know what conduct is prohibited. All this language does is to define a word. We are not saying that people who participate in a strike as defined already in the statutes would continue to get benefits. We are simply taking the existing definition from the unemployment provisions of the law and attaching them here, so that we have a definition of the term and who will make the determination. So I hope that you will take the amendment and I think Senator Cullan as he pointed out to you realizes that what the Department of Welfare said may not be accurate. I will say categorically that it is not accurate.

SENATOR CLARK: The question before the House is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 9 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: The amendment is adopted. Any other amendments?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would now move to amend the bill.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, the amendment I have up there is an appropriation for '82-'83, \$385,000. I understand the argument that is being made if this doesn't cost anything because some of the other reduced costs will be used to fund it, and I don't guess I quite agree that that means it doesn't cost anything. I believe the original request on the fiscal note from the agency indicated a substantial cost by virtue of the fact that they were not tending the requested amount equal to what is proposed here in next year's budget. So it does have that cost impact. Since having filed that with the Clerk, however, and all the things that have happened on the bill and the number of comments on loaded dice that it might be, or whatever they were described as, I think I will temporarily hold the amendment and inform you that I did submit a letter to the Attorney General's office, two things, one to make sure that as the bill is now amended that it is within the call. As I read the call it limits the consideration