to every month. And the fact that Mr. Davis said that he would cut travel expense, I asked the Commission on Aging to give me an accounting of his travel expense for the last fiscal year and it was \$3,147.28, which I regard as extremely very high, but that includes \$403 that Mr. Davis rented a van and also \$541 for an airplane for the Whitehouse Conference on Aging where the members accompanied Mr. Davis. It also includes another airplane rental of \$159. Now I think this is very excessive and certainly Mr. Davis if he thought that he could cut it in the upcoming year, should have thought about doing it in the last fiscal I remind you it is a very small amount. \$10,000, but it would amount to an 8.6 percent cut where every other agency is only receiving a 3 percent cut. and if we are going to cut, let's be fair about it and make it a 3 percent across the board cut for everyone. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, again I would rise to oppose the amendment for two reasons, one, Senator Labedz already indicated that the rationale I gave yesterday as well that at least two of the commission members indicated that if they had the ... moved out of the aid program. the aid program, the cut moved out of the aid program, they would find ways to get along. I want to also again say that I fully, and we have heard many of you comment on the time problem to do all this, we might as well recognize the principal purpose of the session. It has been said many times, let's avoid the tax rate increase. It has been said many times there isn't probably the time to do all the fine tuning, and I say again that there is no doubt in my mind that in the regular session with the deficiency bill, which is by title by the way, don't...please don't go with the information that I talked about a deficiency bill meaning more money, deficiency by law, just defined as any change or transfer of funds between programs does not mean more money necessarily, and I hate to have to go through this, but I know the written media understands. I want to say that there may be adjustments that will occur then and most of those ought to be done in that bill in February, and if the Aging, in fact, does have a problem we can take care of it then. So I would urge you vote down the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Labedz, you may close on your motion.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Actually there is no closing. I just want to reiterate that the amount is \$10,000 but it is an 8.6