

November 5, 1981

LB 8

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President, and I am sorry for the delay but I didn't think I was up next so I wasn't quite prepared to come up here. But I would like to ask Senator Warner if he would yield to a question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, will you respond?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Warner...

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR LABEDZ: ...are there any other agencies, other than the Commission on Aging that is taking more than a 3 percent reduction in operation?

SENATOR WARNER: Not that I am aware of as you put the question, if you want to...if I am on the witness stand and I'm supposed to say yes or no, why....

SENATOR LABEDZ: Well, what I am trying to get at....

SENATOR WARNER: ...the answer is not to my knowledge.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Okay, thank you. As I mentioned yesterday when I talked about this amendment that I was waiting for some figures, the Commission on Aging is taking a 3 percent reduction in the original bill in operations and plus the \$10,000 in aid, which the committee so graciously decided it would not do because of the fact that they would lose approximately \$150,000 in federal matching funds, but rather, the way I understand it, transferred the \$10,000 to operations which makes that then an 8.6 percent reduction in aid and I think it is mighty unfair that the Commission on Aging would result in the only agency taking more than a 3 percent cut, and I would like for the members of the Legislature to understand that we are talking about just \$10,000, but their budget for fiscal year was two hundred and some thousand and an additional \$10,000 in operations would certainly create a hardship. And I know Senator Warner mentioned yesterday, and I was at the committee hearing, where Bruce Davis came in and said that they would prefer not to see the \$10,000 cut because of the matching funds and would rather see a cut in operations rather than that. And I know there were other agencies that came in and complained about the same thing that they would prefer not to have an across the board cut. They would be able to justify cutting certain programs rather than one specific program. The Commission on Aging tell me that they were going to have to even with the 3 percent cut reduce their publication, their monthly publication of Voice, which the elderly certainly look forward