

November 5, 1981

LB 8

Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp. There won't be any need for it because this is the last speaker, so the question.... Senator Warner, did you want to speak again though? Oh. All right, Senator DeCamp, do you want to let Senator Warner speak? All right.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I just want to make a very distinct clarification because of the problems that I have experienced in recent days of trying to explain how things are, but not to you ladies and gentlemen because I think all of us understand how things are in here. But when I said I would oppose a cut, I also want to remind you that transfers within the agency between programs resulting in the same cut, I am sure we properly will have some of those between programs. I view that totally different and I hope you understand that if some of those come along, which I would anticipate, because many agencies indicated it, and I also want to point out that there only may be a handful of those that need to be done now. A great many of them can be done in the deficiency bill next time which again is nothing new or big. That is what we do every year, is make internal adjustments within agencies' programs without increasing their budget. So I want it understood that those kinds of amendments are okay. What I am talking about are cuts and there is a far different cry between deferral cuts and adds. They are different things that tend to be treated the same in some of the broadcasts but they are different. So, Mr. President, I just wanted to make that distinction clear so someone doesn't say I said something differently than I said.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beutler, you may close on your motion.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, there is obviously a tough mood here this morning, but I ask you to think about it a minute. We are talking about...or the argument is made that there is a danger of eroding the tax base. This is the last amendment to this bill...or eroding the reserve is the argument. We will have an adequate legal reserve even if we exempted another million and a half and maybe two million dollars worth of appropriations. So the \$16,000 that is being requested this morning obviously doesn't even begin to come close to eroding the reserve or having any sort of proportion like that. The \$16,000 is a small amount of money to us, but it is a large amount of money to the Workmen's Compensation Court. Of their \$550,000 budget, about \$475,000 of that is for personal services. They have already spent a third