

November 4, 1981

LB 7

CLERK: (Read roll call vote as found on pages 81-82 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 23 nays, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The motion failed. The next amendment please.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, I move the bill be advanced.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Before we take a final vote I would like to give you one last bit of information that we talked about earlier about the increased costs by taking care of the ADC mother when she is first pregnant. That will cost about \$225,000. Now I have had the fiscal office working on this. They will have a memo ready any time now which will show that we will save from other parts of this bill about \$245,000 so that will be about as much as we are going to need to pick up this extra cost of providing through state funds for the pregnant mother so we should be able to, through this bill, to come out about \$20,000 ahead at this point with the amendments we have now adopted and to pay for the increased funds on one hand and do that through the savings we make on the other hand so that the nineteen and twenty year olds who are not going to be receiving benefits through another amendment on the bill are going to save that amount of money that we are going to now spend for the pregnant mothers to cover their costs. So what I am saying is, there may be no need at all for any sort of discussion on a \$5 decrease on ADC benefits. We may be able to within the bill itself cover the costs of the increased support.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Chambers, on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I am going to try that amendment again with some new language on Select File because there is already a similar provision with reference to unemployment compensation. You are disqualified for benefits in the case of a strike but only if you are participating by financing or actively pushing the strike forward. So if you are not going to accept that kind of definition for these ADC people who are trying to help themselves, you ought to strike that provision from the unemployment compensation law too, but what I would rather have you do instead of striking it there is make it consistent across the board where whenever you deal with a