

passed to continue on the test. So, Senator Labeledz and Senator Wagner, please look at the thing in perspective. The thing you are concerned about can and will be corrected, I am sure, and the thing you are going to kill is really kind of serious.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose the motion to bring back LB 412 to strike the enacting clause. I have a motion up after this motion which would lay LB 412 over until after LB 816 is debated. But let me deal with first things first and I think the first thing we have to deal with is the propriety of the process. Now there has been some inferences even though there haven't been strong inferences that there was something wrongly done here. This bill had a public hearing on it. It came out of committee. It was on the consent file. It languished because we didn't get to it and, frankly, the opposition, the Veterans Council was in favor of the bill when they testified for it, etcetera, etcetera. They have since subsequently changed their position but they never notified anybody. So when I sent...when I went to Senator Labeledz and this by the way was at Senator Warner's request, he didn't want his bill, the Greenbelt bill which I was supportive of, further weighted down or confused, I went to Senator Labeledz and I said, Senator Labeledz, let's draft this amendment, we will put both of these bills on, very quickly explained what the bill did although I can appreciate that in that point of time I did not give a very clear and comprehensive view of it. But let me say at that time I did not know that the Veterans Council had changed its position and were opposing of the provisions of LB 740 which is now in LB 412. I think that deserves a debate as every issue deserves a debate. Senator Labeledz's proposal was not debated on General File either and that sometimes happens toward the end of the session when you see a vehicle and you try to use it to move the process along that has otherwise been slowed down. Now there are some very important related issues in LB 740 which is now in LB 412 along with Senator DeCamp's concerns about the \$10,000 and the court case. I would like to get and discuss those points because there are some more compelling reasons for the provisions of LB 740 than there are against it. But at this point in time I don't feel we have an adequate opportunity to do that. I would urge this body not to bring 412 back. I do hope we can bring 412 behind LB 816 because that is its proper place for a whole lot of reasons, and so I would urge the body to reject the Labeledz-Wagner motion.