I would like to ask you to support my hold harmless
clause amendment to LB 816.

SENATOR CLARK:  Is there any discussion on it?  Senator
Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL:  Mr. President, members of the Legislature
I need to ask Senator Haberman a question or two.  Senator
Haberman, I'm sure that you are familiar with the fact
that we are changing the distribution formula.  We are
going. . .breaking these dollars out and sending them
through the state aid formula under foundation aid and to
the counties under effort and to the cities in terms of
population.  Now your hold harmless amendment basically,
basically, would simply leave everything the way it is
today, isn't that correct?  Under 284.  Would make no
change.  You are basically offering a kill motion, if you
will, on LB 816.

SENATOR HABERMAN:  No, that is not true.

SENATOR NEWELL: What is your intent Senator Haberman?

SENATOR HABERMAN:  My intent is that the formula be changed
or whatever necessary, if we have to pump more money into
the kitty we pump more money, that nobody receives less
money than they are receiving now, and those who receive
more money under the formula get more money.

SENATOR NEWELL:  Right, Senator Haberman. . .

SENATOR HABERMAN:  Now how that kills the bill, I don't
understand.  It says that, if you read the amendment
it doesn't have anything about that, Senator Newell, so
I don't see how you can . . . go ahead and ask me another
question, I'll wait.

SENATOR NEWELL:  I think when we work on my time instead
of yours, this is my time, so I will discuss this.  I
don't see in the Haberman amendment the additional monies
necessary to create a hold harmless clause.  I think
Senator Haberman, if he is serious about this amendment,
he ought to offer it either as a kill motion or he ought
to offer whatever appropriations is necessary to make it
work, or he should just strike the language under LB 816
and insert the language under LB 284,  If he is serious,
he would do it that way.  Because in fact, I think this
is one of those frivolous amendments that do not, is not
intended to do anything other than ~ut LB 816 and not in
a very forthright way, either.  So, I would encourage my