SENATOR WARNER: Well you would obviously be reviewing the level of request against the....

SENATOR NICHOL: Excuse me, gentlemen, Senator Haberman for what reason do you rise?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is....point of order. This is very, very serious and I have not seen and would like the opportunity to have a copy of Senator Warner's amendment so we can read it so we know what we are talking about.

SENATOR WARNER: It's on your desk.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, it was on the desk and if you have your copy, Senator Haberman, I struck from the amendment...what is left in the amendment is lines 1 through 9...correction, 1 through 10 and lines 17 and 18.

SENATOR NICHOL: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: That's all.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Warner and Senator Beutler, please continue then.

SENATOR WARNER: What I was getting at, Mr. President, was to be sure that when we are looking at these systems next year that in fact the Legislature will have a choice of comparing quality as well as price. It is the kind of thing that we do with capital construction projects, for example, where cost effectiveness becomes a factor in the construction of a building and sometimes with cost effectiveness you may spend more on a structure but because of its greater efficiency it lasts longer or costs less to operate. I would assume the same concept would hold or could be applied to license plates as to which was most cost effective and I was merely trying to be sure that we would have under Senator Beutler's amendment the abilility to look at both systems separately and compare them, or if we were going to have such loose specifications that we had to look at two or more systems as being the same when they perhaps were not.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Beutler, did you wish to speak again on this? Then Senator Clark and then Senator Haberman.