

April 8, 1982

LB 759

got either one of them on. I would like to have a new license plate a little bit oftener made out of perhaps a little cheaper material with just plain paint on it. Out where I come from you get dirt on it and you get sand on it and you get the paint cut off of it, after a few years it doesn't make an awful lot of difference whether it is reflective or not, so I think this whole issue is a matter that people out back of the glass doors are concerned about and some people in here are concerned about but the average citizen out there could care less.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: I would certainly be in favor of the Beutler amendment because I feel just exactly like Senator Kremer does. You are going to eliminate it to one outfit. You are not going to know anything when you get done with that except that committee. I don't like any of the thing but if it is going to have to pass, I certainly would want the Beutler amendment on it.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Beutler, did you wish to close on this amendment to the amendment, please?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, let me just explain quickly again what the amendment does. It does not deal with the proposed committee that is being set up to design the license plate. That will stay in the amendment and we can talk about that after my amendment. What my amendment does is to put back into the law the requirement that they take bids on both types of systems, that they take bids on both types of systems. Now it has been alleged that what will happen is that you will naturally get the inferior product. But let me remind you that the department sets up standards for the quality of the product. It is going to ensure that the product will last for the number of years for which it is required. Now after it sets up that standard, then I think we would all agree it should take the cheapest product it can get that does the job. That is all that what we did earlier this year and last year, that is all we required them to do, take bids on both, if they both will last six or seven years, however long we are issuing them, and then take the one that is in the best interest of the people of the State of Nebraska in terms of cost. Now it is true that Flex-O-Lite is on the other side of this but, you know, in everyone of these fights somebody is right. Even though they are representing their own best interest, somebody, one of the two sides represents good public policy. In my experience and the

10581