will again, maybe not after this speech but, nevertheless I think it needs to be said. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Newell. Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: (Mike not on immediately.) ...colleagues, I, just like Senator Vickers am not going to take a lot of time but I personally want to stand up and protest this. At a time when many of our state agencies, many of our local subdivisions of government are looking at a cut, we're not cutting, we're just saying hey can you live with this another year without an increase. I certainly can't deny that timewise an increase is due but not this year. It is just the wrong year. It is too tight. I'm like Senator Vickers. I'm a little bit amazed at how we like to sweep this under the rug and let this go. I guess I'm as much in the public life and as dependable upon this media as anybody else is but right is right and in all good conscience I'd have to go on record as saying, not this year.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Howard Peterson.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman, I call the question.

SENATOR LAMB: Well thank you. All right, five hands? I do see five hands. Those in support of ceasing debate vote aye, those opposed no. Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President.

SENATOR LAMB: Debate is ceased. Senator DeCamp, to close.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, just to reply briefly to Senator Vickers and Senator Dworak. There is only one animal in this state that I know of that has gone longer than the press without any increase in their rates and that is the Nebraska Legislature members themselves. The last time the press had an increase to cover the cost of expenses was 1971. LB 401. I happen to remember it because I was the sponsor of that bill. I first learned about the press that way. Anyway, I know this is a tough bill. I know it may be tough to get those votes here tonight but I would urge you to remember that while everybody else has been increasing their cost, the press, for these advertisements or this advertising or whatever you want to call them, legal notices, such as delinquent taxes, for example, these notices that are ordered by us to pay by law, they have received no increases for. They have to print them. These notices that they print, they get three times as much just for an advertisement as they do for these things. So it is not unreasonable. It is not even covering their cost of expense and I urge you to advance the