

April 7, 1982

LB 799A

has upon an agricultural state such as Nebraska. I would ask you, and I am not hurting the University, these funds, these particular funds are pass-through funds. It has been agreed that they will go there. There is no problem there. I really see no reason, nothing to be gained and I see a lot to be lost by diffusion and scattering of these funds. If there is any personal animosity here I will take my name off of anything that has to do with gasohol if that will help take your animosity away from the bill. I am sorry that it looks that way to you, but I just really must ask you not to further diffuse the authority of a committee which does have a considerable responsibility, which admittedly has not done as much as we would like to have it do, but which has spent the funds they have been allocated I believe wisely and well without any problems insofar as administrative expenses and that sort of thing is concerned. I would ask you....

SENATOR NICHOL: One minute, Senator.

SENATOR SCHMIT:not to vote for the Koch amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Koch, did you wish to close?

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I read the hand-out that was given to me by Senator DeCamp, if you can find it among the papers you have on your desk, but he talks about income tax credit for the development of our solar industry to provide \$100,000 for the development of our geothermal resources and I know what that is going to be, and that is misleading. There is nowhere in this bill that I ever read gasohol and I am in support of the development of gasohol but I am beginning to think if the free enterprise system doesn't jump in there and do it, it obviously isn't going to be taken care of too soon. But when I read that handout I have a difficult time, and this is nothing against you, Senator Schmit, at all, I will vote to keep the Gasohol Committee tomorrow and if they have problems, and they are appointed by the Governor and the Governor has some responsibility to make certain they are doing their job, if they are underfunded, then let's give them the money somewhere else. If that is their problem, let's take it somewhere else because I happen to believe when we said the role and mission of the University system is...one of their prime missions is research and we say you are going to do research in energy, that is what they ought to be doing. If they have to contract for someone else, then so be it, but I would hate to see us give \$100,000 to the Gasohol Committee who

10474