

April 7, 1982

LB 928

those in favor signify by voting aye, opposed nay.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Senator Nichol voting yes.

SENATOR NICHOL: This will require 25 votes. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL: The Warner amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have for the bill is offered by Senator Warner. It is on page 1734 of the Journal.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, this deals with an amendment that was discussed in the Appropriations Committee conceptually but was not rejected or adopted, although I think it generally represents the...or does represent what is generally the position of the committee. One of the fees that was being adjusted dealt with a permit that goes on transportation of liquid fuels, trucks that carry tanks. It was first established in 1937 and it was a \$10 rate that was charged on each truck, and the way it was interpreted it was on the tractor and the trailer so it would be \$20 with a permit that was always carried on the tractor. What the committee had recommended was to increase that fee substantially. I believe it was to \$50, but that there should only be one sticker and that placed on the tractor which, in fact, was two stickers now but both still placed on the tractor. What the amendment would do is make that in effect while the unit was the property of a single owner that they would pay \$50...rather \$40 and would be good for the...no, it stated \$50....\$50, and it would stay good for the term of ownership. It is my understanding that generally you would find those units turning on the average about every four years although currently some of them are running longer than that, but it will reduce the amount of paper work. This is just a fee that has been charged primarily to ensure that they have adequate insurance which is provided for otherwise, that that assurance is there. It will reduce the paper work of annually doing it. They would have to pay each time that a unit changed hands. But the other part about it is that the initial impact will be most beneficial as far as the state coffers are concerned this coming year and after that it would...on an annualized basis it would be about a 25 percent increase