

April 6, 1982

LB 761

but I don't recall that there is a timetable in which all that has to occur. So I guess there would be some movement. The state would be complying with the provisions but perhaps not as at a rapid a pace as some people would like.

SENATOR FENGER: Thank you. Senator Wesely, I believe as Vice Chairman of the Public Health and Welfare Committee, I would like to ask him a question then if he would yield. Senator Wesely, wasn't it your understanding at the explanation of our tour at Beatrice that some 46 inmates had to be or patients had to be transferred within the next year or fifteen months and that was mandated by the federal court, and wasn't it your understanding that that court did in fact assume jurisdiction over the amount of funds, state funds, spent at the Development Center?

SENATOR WESELY: Well, as far as the first point, it seemed as though that it was clear that they were expecting the transfer to take place. I am not sure if they were absolutely certain but I do agree with you on the second point for sure that they felt the courts did have authority over funding questions.

SENATOR FENGER: Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Remmers.

SENATOR REMMERS: Members of the Legislature, I agree with Senator DeCamp. Rather than trying to take money away from one area, if we need more money, then maybe we had better appropriate some more. This idea of trying to shift around and take from one and move to the other, we have debated this question for quite a long while and I also kind of object to keep bringing things back when we have a lot of business that hasn't been touched. I think that the question Senator Fenger raised is another one that we should give some consideration. I believe we should...this amendment should not be approved. I think we should defeat this amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner. Senator Warner, did you want to talk on this?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, I have not particularly got in this discussion and I guess I will. As I am sure most of you will recall, we have what is called "035 Funds" and the concept was started some years ago that the funds ought to flow with the individual or the client and the \$500,000 that was in that fund this year was for the movement of people out of Beatrice and the theory was that if they were

10305