

April 6, 1982

LB 933

particular issue that I have an interest in. But it was such a vicious, calculated thing last night that I could not let it pass. It was not the issue of the death penalty that caused the flipflopping. It was the issue of me and my complexion. What most of you know and it hasn't been stated is that what was going to happen with my amendments had been discussed through the day. It had been discussed at the Chairmen's meeting. There had been statements about what was going to be done to show Chambers. So I am aware of what had happened. So there is no need in us pretending that these things are being discussed in a vacuum and that we are talking about the rules or ruling of the Chair. I am the issue, and the way to remove the issue of the contrary rulings is to remove me from the body. You are not going to be able to do that. Maybe you can find a way to get somebody else to do it, but you are not going to be able to do it. And I think that in the past the rulings had been similar to those made by Senator Clark and to the Lieutenant Governor and I have had my differences with both of them. But we are talking now about the procedure by which the Legislature operates. Germaneness is an issue simply because the Constitution indicated that you can't put more than one subject in a bill. If the Legislature votes that something is not germane, that doesn't resolve the legal issue of whether it actually is or not. It simply determines that the Legislature doesn't want to deal with an issue at that point or that it does. Most people in this body don't even know what the legal requirement for germaneness is. This is a Legislature that operates often from the standpoint of ignorance and emotion, pride and prejudice without understanding the fact of the situation before us, and it is difficult for those who understand these things to listen to attempts by people who don't even know what they are talking about to say what germaneness is. They don't even know why we have to talk about germaneness or why there can't be two subjects in a bill. It is because the Constitution said so. And if you read some court cases handed by...handed down by the State Supreme Court, you would see that a lot of things this body says are not germane, would be laughed out of court by the judges because the matter is germane. So before attempts are made to go into deep complex discussions about a particular subject matter, it would be good to at least understand the subject. I think the Chair has ruled correctly and I would not for a moment say that the Chair should rule in the erroneous way that Senator Lamb did last night just for the sake of consistency. When the world becomes topsy-turvy, an attempt has to be made to set it right again. Before it can be set right, you have to stop it from going in the direction that it is,

10203