

April 1, 1982

LB 761

money, if you will, where our mouth is, that we are really serious about trying to hold down the cost of government and are willing to exist with the situation that we have as far as our spaces are concerned, as far as our hearing rooms and our offices and so forth. With that simple explanation, I move for the adoption of this amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Warner, did you wish to speak to this?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, generally the Appropriations Committee as a general policy at least puts in both the Lieutenant Governor's and the Governor's budget and the Legislative Council budget what is requested essentially, at least, and this one, as you all know, there is scheduled renovation...was scheduled renovation to be done. The Exec Board met and to make a most economical project we added \$170,000 to the reappropriation that is available and that is why we did what we did. I personally would concur with Senator Vickers' proposal, however. I think that it is reasonable to state that facilities as far as the Legislature is concerned that we would not do that construction this year saving that particular project. We can get by. It's not deteriorating. It is not that kind of an issue. And I think that it is a place where \$495,000 can be deferred and not really create problems as would be true in some other capital construction projects. So I would support it and also indicate I have some other amendments to reduce appropriations in a variety of places.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, this is one of those amendments that I have mixed emotions about because what Senator Vickers said is probably true if you look at it in one way. But if you look at it another way, the renovation of space that happens to be occupied by the Legislature is a part of this Capitol Building, so it would inure to the benefit and value of the building itself. I don't think that by having a decent place to conduct a hearing when the public is invited in would be considered an extravagance, and even if it is, there are times when we have to teach the public the value of certain things and establish priorities. Now I am not a chairman so there is nothing that is going to redound to my benefit by having a sumptuous hearing room. And from looking at the way the hearing rooms are being renovated I wouldn't say they are sumptuous anyway. But a lot of times the impression that people carry away of their