something that is not there, and if they can take it out, it doesn't deserve to be in there. It is straight thinking as far as I can see it that if they could pull this money out for salary increases, they don't need it. Now if they want the A bill, if they want the salary increase, make them put an A bill with it and do it upfront. a backdoor approach to getting a salary increase and then to say it doesn't cost any money. It costs over \$33,000 for that salary increase and that is what I am pulling out. I think it is fair. It is straight upfront, and that is the way we ought to do things. If that is politically motivated, going straight at it and calling a spade a spade. then it is politically motivated and that is the way things ought to be done in this legislative session instead of the backdoor approach that Senator Goodrich wanted to pull in saying it could be coming out, that it could come out of these budgets without an A bill. Let's do it straight I urge you adopt this amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Goodrich, did you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR GOODRICH: To ask Senator....

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes, he was closing, Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: But what is is saying is.....

SENATOR NICHOL: No, no, no. The question is the adoption of the Burrows amendment. All those in favor signify by voting aye, opposed nay.

CLERK: Senator Nichol voting no.

SENATOR NICHOL: Please vote. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? A record vote has been asked for. Please record.

CLERK: Mr. President....all right, Senator. Senator Burrows requests record vote. (Read the record vote as found on page 1599 of the Legislative Journal.) 13 ayes, 21 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Burrows' amendment.

SENATOR NICHOL: The amendment is not adopted. Do you have another amendment, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes, sir, I do. Mr. President, the next amendment I have is offered by Senators Wesely, Warner, Rumery, Remmers and Haberman.