

April 1, 1982

LB 761

and there were people in this body that wanted to set the salaries of county officials at that time and we resisted that, thank heavens, but the county boards did work on that and did raise salaries. Now, I just can't see how in the world you are going to be able to make the state government look good, get our budget balanced in any way we can, don't care who we hurt. I talked for the salary bill a while ago but it fits right into this whole program. We want to make that old state budget look good no matter what the cost. So what I am saying is that here we are whacking away again. There is no other place for that money to come from except from the property tax. We know what our school districts are up to. They have a difficult time trying to provide the services the people are demanding and what the teachers are demanding...

SENATOR CLARK: You have one minute left.

SENATOR KAHLE: ....with a seven percent lid. One other question. Senator Carsten is here now. This one and a half million, would that be cut equally across the board in 816, or would it be cut from just the county budget?

SENATOR CARSTEN: No, it would be cut from the county budget, I am sure.

SENATOR KAHLE: Okay, that is my point. Here we have another whack at that good old taxpayer out there at the expense of the state government not coming through with what they had promised. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I want to explain the basis of that million and a half cut. First, it was done because it was my understanding that when 816 was advanced to the floor it repealed approximately \$4 million that was distributed to miscellaneous local governmental subdivisions of the \$70 million. So we assumed that the Revenue Committee had made a judgment that that \$4 million was not necessary or didn't need it at least or it was reasonable to discontinue that distribution to the miscellaneous local governments. And I would agree that that was a sound decision. It was a minor amount that each would have minimal impact, if any, on any tax...property tax as a matter of fact, and we agreed with that. Secondly, it was my understanding that the \$4 million then was distributed to the state aid to schools under the foundation portion of the state aid law. The schools previously were getting approximately \$41 million, it may have been \$41.3 or 7, I don't recall now, but it was