

March 31, 1982

LB 942

we set by authorizing this in the first place. I know that funds are tight and it would be nice to do this to provide this additional revenues to the General Fund, but it is the wrong direction. We can not have the accountability if you do not have the responsibility centered in the auditors office. I urge support for the Labeledz amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise to oppose Senator Labeledz's amendment. As I explained before the basis for proposing this by the committee was to bring some uniformity. There was only two units of government that where the state paid the audit. In other cases they were required to pay their own audits. Those two cases was ESU's and county governments and there is one other possibility the county could ask the auditor, the state auditor to do an audit on a township but that is essentially a county audit. All other governmental subdivisions are required to pay for their own audit, sometimes an outside audit and sometimes it might be the state auditor, there is a variety of ways but it seems no logic that just these two units would be paid for with state funds and that a fee to be charged was not unreasonable, it certainly was consistent with all other governmental subdivisions and I think it is a reasonable approach. Again like the amendment we adopted just a little while ago it is true that the 761 will have to have some \$211,000 additional General Fund added and I don't believe that is the appropriate way to go so I would hope that you would reject Senator Labeledz's amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues I oppose Senator Labeledz's amendment. Just briefly and listening to the arguments on behalf of the amendment given by Senator Labeledz and Senator Newell, who I wonder where his true interest is now that he has got other political aspirations, on another level of government, but it seems to me that their argument is that this audit is performed for the benefit of state government only. Now, I can't believe that it isn't in the best interest of counties to conduct this audit function. If the state didn't require it I would submit to you that the counties would have to audit anyway. It is just a proper and worthy and good way of doing business. So we are doing something for them that has to be done by them anyway. There is no reason