

can get us through this hard year and fund the state patrol. For that reason I move the adoption of my amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kahle.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. President and members, I oppose both the Landis amendment and the Fenger amendment. We talked an awful lot about \$3.75 and how that is going to just play havoc with our constituents out there. I think the car now fee for license is \$16.50. You add \$3.75 to that and what is it \$20.25? There isn't one of you unless you have got a small car and a small tank can fill the gas tank for that. I just will not go along with taking highway funds that we are intending to build highways and maintain our highways with to put in the patrol fund, especially when we expect the patrol to do the work, exact work that the inspection people have been doing, check vehicles on safe conditions. There is certainly a relationship between that \$3.75 and where we are going to put it. We have done a lot of things with the cigarette tax and perhaps alcohol tax and a few others that had no relationship at all to what we are trying to do. But, this does. I just can not, I don't know really why we leave it at \$3.75, we ought to even it out somehow, there is no use trying to kid somebody that we are not changing that fee for inspection to something else. But, it does go for the funding of our patrol and I would whole lot rather pay \$3.75 or even \$5.00 than to tap our highway fund when our roads are going to pieces much faster than we are rebuilding them. I just had a bill yesterday before you for minimum maintenance of county roads and I do remind you that the highway fund goes, I believe it is 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ % or a third percent to cities, 23 and a third percent to counties and the rest of it stays in the state highway fund. So you are not only hurting the state you are hurting your own community if you take money out of that fund. This is a one time shot? Don't kid me. Once you get your hand in the till you are not going to take it out again. You will pull other money out of that same fund for other things. So I certainly object and will not support this approach. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Burrows. We are on the Landis amendment.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, I oppose the Landis amendment as even a worse approach than the \$3.75 flat vehicle tax. I have felt for years when we have had gas tax increases and taken up discussions on the gas tax funds with constituents at meetings and one thing they rang home loud and clear, they didn't like an increase in the gas tax, but they didn't want to see it used for anything