

the machine and for that reason I would oppose it, not that I think it damages the bill quite frankly. I repeat, I have talked to some people here that know a lot more about trucking than I ever will. They assure me that it is really quite meaningless, because they are controlled in other ways particularly on weight, for example, and so this pretty much would have no bearing. I might also say it is the agricultural people that came in asking for the Kremer amendment which has had a full public hearing which is sponsored by Senator Cullan himself and Senator Schmit and, at least if they are interested in that portion, I would think we would get that portion adopted, and then if they are opposed to the whole bill, they could, of course, vote against that but I think that rather than throw additional obstructions here we ought to get this part dealt with rather quickly and I oppose that amendment of Senator Beutler.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Beutler, do you wish to close?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, Mr. Speaker, my objective is not to waste time. My objective is to pick up on a concern that I have had with these types of bills from the very first year I was in the Public Works Committee. We exempt this, we exempt that. We make part year exemptions for this and that. Now I don't think we do it in a very fair manner. I don't think it makes sense to come in and nit pick at the general law with one exemption after another until the law doesn't make sense any more. Now my amendment with regard to industrialists doesn't apply to people in the commercial field, Senator Kahle. It applies to industrialists who own their own trucks who use them part time for this or for that or who like the farmer don't get that much use out of a truck all year long but they do have trucks because they need them now and then. So I don't think that my amendment shows any misunderstanding of agriculture whatsoever. I understand how you use those trucks but there are other people that use trucks on a part time basis and I don't see why they shouldn't be treated equally. You know, I think that everybody has a right to amend a bill and that if a bill comes up on the floor for discussion that we ought not to be intimidated from offering the amendments that we might want to offer simply because we oppose the bill coming up in the first place. One of the reasons that I oppose the bill coming up is that I do have questions with it and I do want to take some time with it and I don't think that we ought to get in the frame of mind on the floor of the Legislature that we are just going to steamroll this or that. We should be concerned with everybody's concerns on whatever comes up on the floor of the Legislature. So I offer the amendment in good faith and ask for its adoption.