

March 29, 1982

LB 603

to promote the business and her boss. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and members of the Legislature, I rise to support the amendment, for state employees no matter what the job entails are very special people. State employees at a minimum will have what this legislative body decides and if it means a reduction then that would be still true next year. I trust it does not mean a reduction. I trust it does mean a reasonable increase because the cost of living continues to increase. I will support the amendment which is before us. I urge the majority of this body to do so and to argue because you are voting on something because you don't know what it is, is a pointless bit of rhetoric. It is sort of like a shade to hang up when it is convenient but you roll it down and you put it up at your convenience. It is not a piece of truth. The truth is that our judges deserve an increase. The truth is that the Legislature deserves an increase. But if those are watching today the action on this floor not everyone may agree that the Legislature needs an increase. I sincerely believe that this is a minimum figure. I will support it. I hope the thinking people in this body will do likewise.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I rise to support the proposal. I think it is a reasonable one. Certainly those who wonder what it might be, the Legislature will determine what it is going to be just like they used to do all the others. So I see no fear in adopting such a concept and I would fully support the proposal.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kilgarin, do you wish to close? Senator Haberman, all right.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Dworak, being the fine fiscal conservative young man he is, asked me the fiscal impact. I now have the fiscal impact. It will cost the State of Nebraska the first year the grand total enormous sum of \$11,212 if you adopt this amendment. That is what the increases will be. You are shaking your head no. It's going to be more? Well that is what I figured out, it would be \$11,212 is the difference in the salaries. That is per judge. So that isn't the total. For example, I better get down to it, the Supreme Court will receive \$1811. The district,