

March 24, 1982

LB 761

but I am going to ask at some point that the Legislature take a vote, a formal vote as to whether the rules apply to me as they do everybody else and to ensure that, I am going to draft a resolution so the issue cannot be avoided, and if the vote is in my favor, then I would expect the rules to be enforced in accord with that vote meaning that I have the same standing on this floor as every other member. In my mind I know that I have it. In my community I know that I have it. But I am not going to come here with a gun or a stick or bricks to enforce my will even though my will is correct and in accord with the rules.

SENATOR CLARK: Your point is well taken. Senator Remmers, do you wish to close?

SENATOR REMMERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, just briefly in answer to a couple of questions that were raised, I think Senator Dworak addressed part of it, but I don't believe we can go asking money from foundations if we don't have an idea of what we need. I think the study end of it is still our responsibility. Again I want to say that I think we have here one of the greatest attractions in the world and in this case a very unique attraction. It is not duplicated any place else. I realize that we may be chipping away, and I have been voting against increases in the budget. I want to hold the line, but I think here is a case that maybe not spending money is going to cost us in something that can't be replaced. It is different than the leaky roof that might cause the plaster to fall off the wall because the plaster can be restored even after the roof is fixed. When this deterioration takes place, this is something we can't replace. So I would yield the rest of my time to Senator Wesely.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Wesely. Senator Wesely is closing.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Senator Remmers, and I want to express my appreciation to you and Senator Rumery for co-sponsoring the amendment and the bill on this effort and Senator Dworak for support. I think it is quite clear that the issue is a moral one, really is what we are talking about. We have a collection...somebody caught that...we do have a collection that is world'de reknowned and I think we have to take care of it. It is this concept that so many of us I think share of preserving what we have as being the first priority of this state that is really at question with this amendment. We have a good collection. We have worldwide recognition. We should