

March 22, 1982

LB 942

as of yet we have not eliminated the program. It won't be eliminated until July 1st. It's the idea of, you can start a program or you can eliminate a program but taxes go on forever. I think the Koch amendment has some merit, however, it seems to me that causing that \$3.75 to be paid by the driving public or paid by the schools of the state are really two different things. Even though I obviously oppose the whole thing, I also oppose the fact that property taxes are going to have to pay this \$3.75 inspection on the school buses. So once again it is the same idea, rather than raise the dollars straight up front from the sales and income tax to support the state patrol for the state we are going to try to get some dollars from the property tax payers instead. I would also like to point out that this \$3.75 is going to be used to deposit into the protection of people and property cash fund which is hereby created. I think that is rather amusing, protection of people and property cash fund. If this is a user tax imposed on vehicles because of the state patrol out there keeping us speeders from speeding then why do we call it a protection of people and property tax or fund? Why isn't it a protection of vehicle funds? Or, protection of highways or something of that nature? I guess we each have to make that determination of whether we make a bad amendment better, in case it passes, or leave it bad. But in my, for my position I think we should accept the Koch amendment and at least get the burden off the property tax payer and then perhaps we can defeat the whole thing later on. Thank you Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Cope.

SENATOR COPE. Mr. President and members, like a voice crying in the wilderness, I didn't support Senator Vickers bill last year. I thought we should continue to have inspections. But you know what? The big hue and cry was, we get a lousy job of inspection that does no good. The patrol do a fine job, let's let them do like they have been doing. Well this is exactly what it is. This \$3.75 is going to the patrol. They can broaden their scope and they will do it and I don't see why, or I would hope that school buses would be inspected the same as other cars. So, I see no reason not to include them with cars. I certainly reject Senator Koch's amendment and support the bill as is, or the committee amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Koch, do you wish to close?