

it would be in the nature of a unanimous consent request and there is an objection by Senator Vard Johnson. Now Senator Kahle, do you wish to move to lay it over?

SENATOR KAHLE: I move to lay it over.

PRESIDENT: Now the motion is to lay the bill over and, Senator Vard Johnson, I would imagine that you wish to be recognized at this point, unless, Senator Kahle do you have anything further in the motion to lay over and then we will give Senator Vard Johnson a . . .

SENATOR KAHLE: I think that I have covered it pretty well. I believe that we have conflicting issues coming before us for one thing. I also believe that we have not had a chance to digest what this is going to do to the counties. They are just beginning to respond now as to what we did a week ago or whenever it was. I don't know how. . . I can't vote for the bill today. I may be able to when I get all of the information. I also have a conflict with what we are doing with 816, which did move yesterday also. I think we are on a collision course here of some kind. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, this bill has been before the Legislature since it was filed in 1981. There has been a lot of opportunity for folk to comment on this measure and clearly there is a difference of opinion as to its wisdom and the like but I don't think that it needs to be laid over or passed over so that more time can pass before it moves on to Final Reading. It is my understanding in fact that the Nebraska Association of County Officials at a district meeting in Grand Island yesterday went on record in support of LB 522. I also know, Senator Kahle, I guess I share this with you, I did receive a communication from the Commissioner's of Buffalo County, which is Senator Kahle's county, or one of his counties, supporting 522. There, as far as I am concerned the measure ought to be voted upon at this time and moved. It is very straightforward. The issues have been well argued on the floor. Senator Von Minden I know has one point of view on it, others seem to share that point of view and then there is a different point of view on the matter. I don't personally think there is a lot to be gained by not taking it up at this time. It is for that reason I'd just as soon have the measure taken up and vote it up or vote it down. But I would like to have it voted up.