

March 17, 1982

LB 202

all that. I would caution you one small problem developed on the amendment. Shortly after I offered it the U. S. Supreme Court struck down the California proposal as being unconstitutional, also saying you couldn't make it mandatory. And so once again you were thrown back. Now if you want to take the risk, I would be happy to take it with you. In other words, you change our death penalty to that mandatory proposal with the swiftness and sureness and certainty, it is there, got it all ready for you if you want to use it, and do what Senator Peterson says, try the new U. S. Supreme Court, the risk. If you win, you have got finally a death penalty that is effective. If you lose, you have eliminated the death penalty. That is about the only way I see you can accomplish what you claim you want the death penalty to be. I dare you to take the chance. I dare you to put a swift and certain and sure death penalty in effect. I will vote to put it on if anybody wants to try it, and as I say, I have got the amendment all ready from 1976, and as Senator Howard Peterson says, you have got a new U. S. Supreme Court....

SENATOR LAMB: One minute, Senator.

SENATOR DeCAMP:but you are running a high risk. Finally, about public polls, 90 percent of the people in my district I am sure favor the death penalty, but I don't think they have been informed as to how it works. Let me tell you about another poll, a Gallup Poll. Jimmy Carter's famous energy program, 82 percent of the American people supported it. Jimmy Carter's energy program. 85 percent, however, when asked, do you support an increase in gasoline taxes to 50 cents or whatever the numbers were, 85 percent opposed that. That just happened to be what Jimmy Carter's energy program was. So they support the concept of the death penalty but when given the details....

SENATOR LAMB: Your time is up, Senator.

SENATOR DeCAMP:I think you would have a different situation.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Wiitala.

SENATOR WIITALA: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I rise in opposition of Senator Hefner's motion to indefinitely postpone this issue, largely because I feel that this issue has not been debated long and hard enough. My distinguished colleague, Senator Labeledz, mentioned that all of us are hard and fast on our views on this topic, but for me as a member of the