

As it is now from the information that I have, one of my school districts will lose \$60,000 and there is another school district in the State of Nebraska that will receive \$4 million underneath one of the formulas. So I say let's adopt zero budgeting fund increase and let's start from there and let's be fair and let's be equal because I'm going to tell you this that when a little school like Hayes Center loses \$60,000 and one school gains \$4 million there is something wrong somewhere. So I rise to oppose the kill motion and beings as we have rules that make this a legal resolution and makes everything we're asking legal, that we do not kill the resolution but pass it. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I rise to support the kill motion. I'd like to remind the members of this body that shortly after the Governor of Nebraska addressed this body Senator Warner brought a request which you adopted to allow the Appropriations Committee additional time to cope with the situation presented to us. This body accepted Senator Warner's suggestion. The Appropriations Committee has been working with that as a mandate from the Legislature. You have given us additional time. That time has been used to the benefit of all of us. I think as a courtesy to the Appropriations Committee LR 240 should be indefinitely postponed for it is, in fact, an unpleasant resolution considering the hours the Appropriations Committee has already put in on the problem presented to us when the Governor came in to address us, Sunday afternoon at two o'clock, Monday morning before the Legislature met. The reporters have also put in their time, the members of the press to be present when we were present. I urge your indefinite postponement of this resolution.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, I wholeheartedly support the kill motion on this resolution. It speaks with forked tongue. In the first part of the resolve it resolves that we go a zero based budget and then gives lip service to some real estate tax relief. We're currently sending a little over half of our state budget to subdivisions, to school districts and to the subdivisions of state government. That is included in the 7% lid and without additional dollars we are shifting to real estate taxes without putting additional dollars in there. Now the Appropriations Committee has not found room for any significant increases in these amount of funds that are going to subdivisions. No tax is a popular tax but we're coming down to some facts of life that